

Table III

**Relationship of the fine-structure-like approximation ( $\alpha$ ) to the Fibonacci Numbers Sequence**

x by	0.7236292487	rounded to whole number	Fibonacci Number Sequence, $\geq 1$	0.72973525664	rounded to whole number	0.7236067977	rounded to whole number	reciprocal of 0.7236067977 $= \rightarrow$	1.381966011
$\phi$	1.17086	1	1	1.1807364480	1	1.1708203932	1		
$\phi^2$	1.89449	2	2	1.91047	2	1.8944	2		
$\phi^3$	3.06534	3	3	3.09120	3	3.0652	3		
$\phi^4$	4.95983	5	5	5.0017	5	4.9597	5		
$\phi^5$	8.02517	8	8	8.0929	8	8.0249	8		
$\phi^6$	12.98500	13	13	13.09456	13	12.9846	13		
$\phi^7$	21.01017	21	21	21.18745	21	21.0095	21		
$\phi^8$	33.99517	34	34	34.2820	34	33.9941	34		
$\phi^9$	55.00534	55	55	55.4695	55	55.0036	55		
$\phi^{10}$	89.00051	89	89	--->89.7515	90	88.9978	89		
$\phi^{11}$	144.00586	144	144			144.0014	144		
$\phi^{12}$	233.00637	233	233			232.9991	233		
$\phi^{13}$	377.0122	377	377			377.0005	377		
$\phi^{14}$	610.018	610	610			609.9997	610		
$\phi^{15}$	987.031	987	987			987.0002	987		
$\phi^{16}$	1,597.049	1597	1597			1,596.9999	1597		
$\phi^{17}$	2,584.080	2584	2584			2,584.0001	2584		
$\phi^{18}$	4,181.13	4181	4181			4,180.9999	4181		
$\phi^{19}$	6,765.21	6765	6765			6,765.0	6765		
$\phi^{20}$	10,946.34	10946	10946			10,945.9999	10946		
$\phi^{21}$	17,711.55	-->17712	17711			17,711.0	17711		
$\phi^{22}$	28,657.89	28658	28657			28,656.9999	28657		
$\phi^{23}$	46,369.44	46369	46368			46,368.0	46368		
$\phi^{24}$	75,027.33	75027	75025			75,024.9999	75025		
$\phi^{25}$	121,396.77	121397	121393			121,393.0	121393		
$\phi^{26}$	196,424.09	196424	196418			196,417.9999	196418		
$\phi^{27}$	317,820.86	317821	317811			317,811.0	317811		
$\phi^{28}$	514,244.95	514245	514229			514,228.9999	514229		
$\phi^{29}$	832,065.82	832066	832040			832,040.0	832040		
$\phi^{30}$	1,346,310.77	1346311	1346269			1,346,268.9999	1346269		
$\phi^{31}$			2178309			2,178,309.0	2178309		
$\phi^{32}$			3524578			3,524,577.9999	3524578		
$\phi^{33}$			5702887			5,702,887.0	5702887		
$\phi^{34}$			9227465			9,227,464.9999	9227465		
$\phi^{35}$			14930352			14,930,352.0	14930352		
$\phi^{36}$			24157817			24,157,816.9999	24157817		
$\phi^{37}$			39088169			39,088,169.0	39088169		
$\phi^{38}$			63245986			63,245,985.9999	63245986		
$\phi^{39}$			102334155			102,334,155.0	102334155		
$\phi^{40}$			165580141			165,580,140.9999	165580141		
$\phi^{41}$			267914296			267,914,296.0	267914296		
$\phi^{42}$			433494437			433,494,436.9999	433494437		
$\phi^{43}$			701408733			701,408,733.0	701408733		
$\phi^{44}$			1134903170			1,134,903,169.9999	1134903170		
$\phi^{45}$			1836311903			1,836,311,903.0	1836311903		
$\phi^{46}$			2971215073			2,971,215,072.9999	2971215073		
$\phi^{47}$			4807526976			4,807,526,976.0	4807526976		
$\phi^{48}$			7778742049			7,778,742,049.0	7778742049		
$\phi^{49}$			12586269025			12,586,269,025.0	12586269025		
$\phi^{50}$			20365011074			20,365,011,074.0	20365011074		

$\phi = 1.61803$        $\sqrt[3]{\phi} = 1.174 = \gamma$        $\gamma^3 = 1.174^3 = \phi$        $\alpha = \gamma^2 = 1.3782$        $\gamma = \phi/\alpha = 1.61803/1.3782 = 1.1739$   
 These are the original phi ( $\phi$ ),  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  relationships found in the DNA Master Chart.  
**NOTE:** In all references to the early DNA triangle work,  $\alpha$  should be correctly designated  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Back in 2001, the  $\alpha$  was used as  $\alpha = 1.3782$  when in fact it is the reciprocal of  $\alpha$ , as  $\alpha = 1/137$ , thus  $\alpha^{-1}$  is, from this current work on, correctly used as  $\alpha = 0.72\dots\dots$ . The differences in  $\alpha$ -like numbers are below.

~~~~~  
 Below, corresponding to three slightly different iterations of  $\sqrt{\alpha^{-1}} = \gamma$  as seen in Columns 1,2 — Columns 4,5 — Columns 6,7  
 ~~~~~

**Column 1**  
 Reciprocal of  $\alpha^{-1} = 1.3819$  as  $\alpha = 0.7236292487$  taken from working down Fibonacci Number 89 (Table I)— dividing each Fibonacci number sequentially by  $\phi$ .  
 Working from the 0.7236292487 on up — multiplying sequentially by  $\phi$  — only works up to 10946 before becoming too large. Col 1,2

~~~~~

**Column 4**  
 Reciprocal of the published fine-structure constant =  $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999139(31)$  as  $\alpha = 0.0072973525664(17)$ .  
 Working from the 0.72973525664 on up — multiplying sequentially by  $\phi$  — only works up to 55 before becoming too large. Col 4,5  
 Reference: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fine-structure\\_constant](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fine-structure_constant)

~~~~~

**Column 6**  
 Reciprocal of the natural fitting 0.7236067977 =  $1/1.3819660113$   
 Working from the 0.7236067977 on up — multiplying sequentially by  $\phi$  — works perfectly at least up to 20,365,011,074. Col 6,7  
 $1.3819660113 \times 1.17082 = 1.6180334454$        $1.17082^2 = 1.3708194724$        $1.17082^3 = 1.6049828547$   
 Here we see that this nearly "perfect" fit  $\alpha = 0.7236067977 = 1/1.38196601$  completely re-generates the Fibonacci Numbers Sequence. As,  $\alpha^{-1} = 1/\alpha$  and  $(1/\alpha)\phi = \phi$ , so  $1.3819660113 \times 1.17082 = 1.6180334454$  and  $\gamma^2 = \alpha^{-1}$ , so  $1.17082^2 = 1.3708$   
 Less perfect, than the original DNA Triangle values (see above), is  $1.17082^3 = 1.6049828547$  — NOT quite  $\phi$ .

~~~~~

Clearly, these numbers are close — very close — to defining a definitive connection between the Phi ( $\phi$ ), the Fibonacci Number Sequence, the pentagon, the Kepler Triangle, the Pythagorean Triples, the DNA double-helix molecule, and perhaps even the fine-structure constant. Tweaking the values slightly one way satisfies some sets, but not all. Tweaking the values a little the other way satisfies those sets outside, but sacrifices some of the formerly included sets. It may also be simple a matter of scale, i.e. molecular versus atomic. On the level of physics, and their constants, one would prefer a more definitive connection. On the level of biology — even though physics informs the chemistry that informs the biology — we can appreciate how the template of the perfect match may enjoy the fruits of diversity by exactly that type of subtle variation from an exact fit!